UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,292	12/16/2004	Mark W. Cater	25029/101/101	7055
	7590 11/20/200 ROONEY & SIVERTS	EXAMINER		
SUITE 401, BROADWAY PLACE EAST 3433 BROADWAY STREET NORTHEAST MINNEAPOLIS, MN 554133009			KASHNIKOW, ERIK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4174	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	10/518,292	CATER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Erik Kashnikow	4174			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 De</u>	ecember 2004				
	action is non-final.				
<i>i</i> —	/ 				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
closed in accordance with the practice under Lx parte Quayle, 1999 O.D. 11, 400 O.G. 210.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/16/2005. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/518,292 Page 2

Art Unit: 4174

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-19 and 24-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lehotonen et al. (US 4,996,062) in view of Bautista et al (US 6,824,802).
- 3. In regards to claims 1-4, 6-10 and 27 Lehotonen et al. teach a package which contains foodstuff, as well as a mixture of the enzymes glucose oxidase and catalase, which is used to eliminate oxygen from the atmosphere (column 3 lines 31-41).
- 4. Lehotonen et al. also teach the use of glucose as a substrate in their oxygen scavenging system (column 5 line 65-68).
- 5. In regards to claims 12- 13 Lehotonen et al. teach that glucose oxidase be present in quantities of 10-1000 Units (column 6 line 5), and catalase in quantities of the same amount (Column 3 line 57-58).
- 6. In regards to claims 24 -26 and 28 Lehotonen et al. teach that the composition can be incorporated into the package prior to the addition of the food product (column 6 line49-52). The composition can also be embodied in a 3 dimensional form, when it is added to the actual food product (column 6 line 42-44).
- 7. Lehotonen et al. is however silent regarding the non aqueous dry buffering agent.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,292 Page 3

Art Unit: 4174

8. In regards to claims 16-19 Bautista et al. teach an antimicrobial composition for food products (column 1 lines 6-13). Bautista teach an acidifying reagent in combination with a buffer (column 2 line 44-51). Bautista teaches that sodium bicarbonate is a preferred embodiment for the neutralizing system (column 7 line 51). Bautista et al teach that the concentration of the acidifying agent and the neutralizing agent be from 1:1 to 1:10 (Column 7 lines 65-66).

- 9. In regards to claims 14 and 15 Bautista et al. teach that the acidifying agent, in the case of this invention the glucose source is present in an amount of 92 99.95%, and the neutralizing agent is present in amounts from 0.05 8% (column 7 lines 61-62).
- 10. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the two inventions because the invention of Bautista et al. provides for increased shelf live, and improving the robustness of the food without negatively affecting the flavor (column 1 lines 13-15).
- 11. Claims 5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lehotonen et al. (US 4,996,062) in view of Bautista et al (US 6,824,802) in further view of Stougaard et al. (US 6,251,626).
- 12. As stated above Lehotonen et al. and Bautista et al. teach a composition for use as an oxygen scavenging system for food. However both Lehotonen et al. and Bautista et al. are silent regarding the use of hexose oxidase.

13. Stougaard et al. teach that hexose oxidase is an enzyme that in the presence of oxygen can capable of oxidizing dextrose and a multitude of other reducing sugars (column 1 lines 19-20).

Page 4

- 14. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use this in the inventions of Lehotonen et al. and Bautista et al. because this enzyme can utilize a broader range of substrates and therefore make the claimed invention more flexible (column 1 lines 27-28).
- 15. Claims 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lehotonen et al. (US 4,996,062) in view of Bautista et al (US 6,824,802) in further view of Ernst (US 5,284,871).
- 16. As stated above Lehotonen et al. and Bautista et al. teach a composition for use as an oxygen scavenging system for food. However both Lehotonen et al. and Bautista et al. are silent regarding the use of water permeable enclosures for the composition.
- 17. Ernst teaches storing an oxygen scavenging system in a water permeable container enclosed with the product, including pouches (column 8 line 67 to column 9 line 9). It would also be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that a pouch and enclosed pouch and a sachet are all different design choices for a pouch.
- 18. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the invention of Lehotonen et al. and Bautista et al. with the invention of Ernst because the invention of Ernst protects foods from discoloration and growth of bacteria yeast and molds (column 3 lines 24-31).

Application/Control Number: 10/518,292 Page 5

Art Unit: 4174

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erik Kashnikow whose telephone number is (571) 270-3475. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-5:00PM EST (First Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, D. Lawrence Tarazano can be reached on (571) 272-1515. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/D. Lawrence Tarazano/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4174

Erik Kashnikow Examiner Art Unit 4174 Application/Control Number: 10/518,292

Page 6

Art Unit: 4174